JOURNAL OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

Volume: 4 Issue: 10 | Oct–2025 ISSN: 2720-6882
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/jiphr

Digital Consular Services: Innovations and International Legal Standards

Yuldasheva Govkherjan
Doctor of Science in Law, Lecturer of the Department of International law and human rights, Tashkent State University of Law, Uzbekistan

Annotation: This article examines the emerging field of digital consular services how consular operations of states are being transformed by digital technologies, such as online portals, biometrics, artificial intelligence and blockchain and assesses them against the backdrop of international legal standards. It reviews the innovations in consular service delivery, explores the challenges and risks (data protection, jurisdiction, diplomatic immunity, digital identity), and situates these developments within existing international legal frameworks (Vienna Conventions, e-governance norms, eIDAS, etc.). The article argues that in order to harness the potential of digital consular services effectively and lawfully, states must adapt both their domestic legal regimes and promote international cooperation to update legal standards. The article ends with recommendations for policy-makers and consular practitioners.

Key words: digital consular services, e-consular, diplomatic law, consular law, e-government, digital identity, international legal standards, biometric data, cross-border digital services.

Introduction. In the contemporary world characterised by digital connectivity, global mobility and rising expectations for efficient public services, diplomatic and consular functions of states are being re-imagined. The field of consular affairs traditionally centred on providing assistance to nationals abroad, issuing visas, promoting trade and protecting interests of sending states is undergoing a digital transformation. This transformation is not merely one of convenience, but one with potential to reshape the architecture of consular services, their accessibility, responsiveness and cost-effectiveness. At the same time, new technologies bring complex legal and normative questions: how do existing treaties and conventions apply when consular services are delivered remotely, across borders via digital platforms? How does the principle of diplomatic and consular immunity, or the concept of consular functions, adapt when part of the service is provided online? How can states ensure privacy, data protection and cybersecurity in an environment of cross-border digital flows?

This article explores digital consular services - which we define as consular operations that utilise digital tools to deliver services to nationals abroad or to foreigners (such as visa applicants) with a primary focus on innovations and the applicable international legal standards. The aim is to map the terrain: to define key digital trends in consular affairs; assess legal frameworks and identify gaps; and propose policy and legal responses for states and international organisations. In doing so, it also contributes to scholarship on e-government and digital diplomacy, by focusing specifically on the consular dimension, which has been less explored in the literature.

The article proceeds in several steps. First, it sets out a conceptual framework for understanding consular services and the impetus for digitalisation. Second, it surveys major technological innovations in consular service delivery. Third, it examines the international legal standards relevant to digital consular services and highlights areas of tension. Fourth, it presents concrete state practice in digital consularisation through case studies. Fifth, it identifies risks and ethical considerations. Finally, it offers policy and legal recommendations, before concluding.

Volume: 4 Issue: 10 | Oct–2025 ISSN: 2720-6882
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/jiphr

Conceptual Framework: Consular Services and Digitalisation. Consular services refer to a state's functions through its consular posts or sections of diplomatic missions which assist its nationals abroad, protect their interests, issue passports, visas, assist in emergencies, and promote trade, cultural links and citizens' rights. The landmark treaty Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (VCCR) of 1963 codifies many of these functions and rights. Among the key provisions, Article 5 enumerates consular functions such as protecting interests of the sending State and its nationals, issuing passports and travel documents, helping nationals in distress, and assisting in legal or administrative proceedings.

However, the scope of consular services has evolved: modern consular posts engage in e-passport issuance, biometric enrolment, citizen services via mobile apps, online visa processes, evacuation planning, digital outreach and communication. The physical "consulate office" remains central, but increasingly the "virtual consulate" via digital channels is gaining prominence.

Several factors drive the digitalisation of consular services. These include:

- *Global mobility and expectation of digital services:* Citizens travelling or living abroad expect fast, convenient services akin to those at home.
- *Cost-efficiency:* Digital channels can reduce administrative overheads, waiting times, in-person visits, and paperwork. For example, the German government pointed to long waits and demand for skilled workers as impetus for its digitalisation drive.
- *Security and integrity:* Digital identity, biometrics and secure portals can enhance the authentication of clients and reduce fraud.
- *Crisis responsiveness*: In emergencies (pandemics, evacuations), digital consular services allow rapid communication, remote assistance and service continuity.
- *Interoperability and data sharing:* Digital systems enable improved data sharing between missions, home ministries and other agencies, facilitating more holistic consular work.
- *Regulatory push:* States and international organisations are introducing legal frameworks and standards for e-government, digital identity and cross-border digital services that encourage public authorities, including consular functions, to digitise.

The digitalisation of consular services spans multiple technologies. Key among them are:

- *Online portals / mobile apps* for service delivery (visa applications, passport renewals, consular registration).
- *Digital identity and authentication systems,* biometrics (fingerprints, facial recognition) to verify persons remotely or at consular posts.
- *AI and RPA (Robotic Process Automation)* to assist in document screening, anomaly-detection and workflow automation. For example, one article highlights that AI- and RPA-powered systems can scan and summarise supporting documents, flag *anomalies or missing information.*
- *Blockchain and distributed ledger technologies (DLT)* for secure data sharing, audit-trail, tamper-proof records of consular transactions, and potentially for cross-border interoperability. While not yet widely adopted in consular services per se, these technologies are relevant in broader e-government contexts.
- *Cloud platforms and secure data centres,* enabling mission operations worldwide, remote access and integrated back-office systems.
- *Digital communication tools* (chatbots, messaging, social media) to engage with nationals abroad, provide consular assistance, crisis alerts and real-time information.

Volume: 4 Issue: 10 | Oct–2025 ISSN: 2720-6882
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/jiphr

Taken together, these technological trends form the infrastructure of what might be called the "digital consulate" — a hybrid of traditional consular functions and digital service delivery.

Innovations in Digital Consular Services. One of the clearest manifestations of digital consular services is the online portal for visa and consular applications. For instance, the Consular Services Portal of the German government allows users worldwide to apply online for 28 national visa categories and upload documents via a secure platform. According to the press release, from 1 January 2025 this service became available at all 167 Germany visa sections worldwide. Similarly, the portal provides features such as tracking status, uploading documents securely, and reducing dependence on physical visits. According to commentary, uploaded documents are pre-checked and the applicant receives real-time status updates.

The benefits are clear: improved accessibility (especially for applicants residing far from consular posts), reduced waiting times, transparency of process and fewer manual errors. For consular posts, this means fewer paper applications, better workflow management and potential cost reductions.

However, as commentary notes, online portals are not a panacea. For example, although applicants upload documents, they may still need to appear in person for biometrics or to submit original documentation. Moreover, the availability of languages, user-friendliness, accessibility for persons with disabilities, and payment options remain variable.

Biometric identification, digital identity and authentication. A second area of innovation is in biometric and digital identity verification within consular services. As nationals living abroad or migrants apply for services, digital identity systems allow consular posts to verify applicants securely. Biometrics (fingerprints, facial recognition) enhance the authentication process and reduce identity fraud. Countries integrate biometric capture for passport issuance, visa issuance and consular registration.

Multi-factor authentication, linking national digital identity systems with consular systems, also emerges. For example, within the EU context the eIDAS Regulation provides a legal basis for cross-border recognition of electronic identification and authentication. The interplay between domestic digital identity regimes and consular services is thus increasingly important.

Digital identity also allows for remote service delivery: where consular functions may be performed without physical visits — for example, remote passport renewals, online consular registration, push notifications to nationals abroad etc. This trend is likely to grow, especially for diaspora services.

AI and automation are increasingly integrated into consular service workflows. The article "How Artificial Intelligence Can Modernize Consular Services" observes that AI- and RPA-powered systems can help scan and sort supporting documents, flag missing information, automate repetitive tasks and provide preliminary screening.

For instance, automating routine visa assessment tasks may allow consular officers to focus on complex cases. Chatbots may interact with applicants, answer FAQs, schedule appointments, or triage consular queries. Machine learning may detect anomalies consistent with fraud (duplicate submissions, forged documents) and alert officers for investigation.

This innovation can enhance efficiency and accuracy but also raises questions: how transparent is the decision-making if AI is used? Are applicants given explanations when decisions are automated? Does automation comply with due process in consular decisions (which may impact rights of applicants)? These issues intersect with legal standards concerning administrative law, data protection and human rights.

While less visible in consular services to date, blockchain and distributed ledger technologies (DLT) afford potential for secure, tamper-resistant consular records, digital notarial services for diaspora,

Volume: 4 Issue: 10 | Oct–2025 ISSN: 2720-6882
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/jiphr

cross-border data sharing among missions, and audit trails of service delivery. Work in e-governance has explored combining DLT and inter-planetary file systems (IPFS) for secure data sharing in decentralised service models. arXiv

In consular contexts, potential applications include: diaspora registration logs; digital powers-of-attorney for nationals abroad; secure credential issuance; inter-mission data sharing (with sending state ministries) across jurisdictions; and emergency evacuation lists. The key advantage is resilience to tampering, transparent audit logs and improved interoperability. However, adoption remains nascent and legal/regulatory frameworks for blockchain in public service are still evolving.

A further emerging dimension is mobile and self-service consular tools. Many states now offer mobile apps enabling nationals abroad to register with their consulate, receive alerts in emergencies, update contact details, request assistance, apply for certain certificates or pay fees. These mobile tools increase convenience, improve communication during crises (natural disasters, political unrest) and allow consular services to maintain engagement with nationals globally.

Self-service kiosks or digital terminals in mission offices may also reduce in-person time and streamline service (for example passport renewal check-in, document scanning, biometrics capture). While comparatively simpler than AI or blockchain solutions, these tools contribute to the holistic digital consular ecosystem.

Legal Standards and Challenges in the International Framework. The legal foundation for consular services lies in the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (VCCR) of 1963. The VCCR defines the functions of consular posts, rights and immunities of consular officers, the framework for establishing consular relations and the protection of interests of sending states and nationals. The Convention was drafted in a pre-internet era and envisages physical consular offices, face-to-face services, and in-person interaction.

Article 5 of VCCR lists consular functions: protection of interests of sending state and its nationals, issuing passports/travel documents, representing nationals before courts and administrative authorities etc. The immunity and privileges regime is set out in Articles 43-44. The Convention focuses on bilateral consular relations between states.

However, the digitisation of consular services raises questions of compatibility with the VCCR. For example: if a consular service is delivered remotely via an online portal located outside the mission location, does this change the “place” of consular post for purposes of privileges/immunities? If biometric enrolment or document issuance is conducted abroad or remotely, does the host state’s jurisdiction intervene? The VCCR does not explicitly address digital/virtual consular services.

Moreover, other treaties may apply: the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (VCDR) of 1961 covers diplomatic missions and diplomatic agents — while consular posts have a separate framework under the VCCR. Some scholarship suggests that digital diplomacy and virtual representation challenge the assumptions underpinning the VCDR and VCCR.

As states explore “virtual embassies” or remote service delivery, consular law must adapt. The notion of a “virtual consulate” — a digital platform that offers consular services without a physical presence — is emerging. For example, the article by Burkadze (2023) argues that digital diplomacy and virtual embassies require appropriate international legal definitions and possibly treaty amendments.

Some of the legal adaptation issues include:

- Defining the locus of the consular post when services are provided digitally: Is the mission still located in the host state, or does the portal constitute a new “place”?

Volume: 4 Issue: 10 | Oct–2025 ISSN: 2720-6882
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/jiphr

- Ensuring that the privileges and immunities of consular officers apply appropriately when digital services are rendered remotely.
- Addressing data flows: When consular systems collect data on nationals abroad and perhaps on third-country nationals (e.g., visa applicants) via digital portals, the hosting, processing and storage location may cross jurisdictions: which state's laws apply?
- Reconciling national sovereignty with transnational digital consular services: A host state retains sovereignty within its territory. If a sending state's portal interface is accessed locally, is it still purely a matter of the sending state?
- The question of digital identity issuance by consular posts: issuing e-passports remotely, digital consular certificates — do existing treaties support such remote issuance and recognition by host states?

Thus, to accommodate digital consular services, states need to reconsider how the VCCR operates in a digital environment, perhaps through supplementary protocols or new multilateral instruments.

Beyond consular law directly, there are important digital-governance and e-identification regimes that intersect with consular service delivery. One key regime is the eIDAS Regulation of the European Union. The eIDAS sets standards for electronic identification, authentication and trust services within the EU internal market. It ensures that electronic signatures and electronic identification means from one Member State are recognised by other Member States.

While eIDAS is EU-specific, the underlying principles — technology neutrality, functional equivalence, cross-border recognition of digital identity and trust services — are relevant globally. In the consular context, for example: a national abroad may authenticate via a digital identity issued by the sending state; consular documents may be digitally signed; online portals may rely on qualified trust service providers. To ensure legal validity of digital documents across borders, recognition of digital signatures and electronic authentication is vital.

Another relevant element is the work of the Internet & Jurisdiction Policy Network which addresses the interplay of cross-border data flows, jurisdiction and digital services. Consular services that traverse borders digitally must be attentive to these dynamics.

Although not a consular-law instrument per se, these e-governance and digital identity regimes shape the legal environment within which digital consular services operate.

Digital consular services involve the collection, processing and transfer of sensitive personal data — passports, visas, biometric identifiers, contact details of nationals abroad, travel security information and more. As such, they must be compatible with data protection, privacy and cybersecurity norms.

Many states have data protection laws or adhere to frameworks such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the EU context. Although consular services may involve cross-border flows (sending state ↔ nationals abroad ↔ mission abroad), the question arises: which legal regime governs data? For example, if a consular portal is hosted in cloud servers in another state, and nationals from a third country apply, then the interplay of jurisdiction, data protection laws, and sovereign immunities gets complicated.

Cybersecurity is also a concern: consular portals become targets for phishing, fraud, identity theft and state-sponsored attacks. The integrity of consular services depends on secure digital infrastructure. As noted in the literature of e-governance, decentralised systems (e.g., DLT+IPFS) can mitigate some risks.

Volume: 4 Issue: 10 | Oct–2025 ISSN: 2720-6882
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/jiphr

From the international legal perspective, ensuring that consular services adhere to human rights standards (right to privacy, non-discrimination, due process) is crucial. Use of AI within consular decision-making (e.g., visa approvals) raises further concerns (see section 6).

Jurisdictional, immunities and sovereign functions in digital consular services. Another significant legal challenge concerns jurisdiction, immunities and the notion of sovereign functions in digital consular services. Under the VCCR (Articles 43–44), consular officers are accorded certain privileges and immunities in the host state. But when parts of consular service are provided digitally, perhaps from servers outside the host state or via staff working remotely, how should those immunities apply? For example, if a consular employee located in the sending state's home ministry processes visa applications for host-state nationals, is that consular function still within the meaning of "consular post"? This affects state liability and jurisdiction issues.

Furthermore, the concept of "sovereign function" of consular services (the sending state exercising consular functions in the host state's territory) may shift when services are delivered remotely. A host state may claim jurisdiction over digital services rendered within its territory (even if the server is abroad). International legal standards for cross-border digital service provision (including state immunity, extraterritorial jurisdiction) become relevant.

Thus, digitalisation of consular services challenges traditional assumptions of physical location, territoriality and immunities which underlie consular law, and requires careful legal alignment.

Case Studies of State Practice. The German government launched the Consular Services Portal on 1 January 2025, enabling applicants worldwide to submit online applications for 28 categories of national visas at all 167 German visa sections. Via this digital portal, applicants can upload required documents, track application status and in some cases avoid physical postal submission. The portal is described as a "real administrative revolution" by the German Foreign Minister.

According to analysis, the portal provides advantages: uploaded documents are pre-checked; secure communication channels are used instead of unencrypted email; the system works on PC, tablet or smartphone. Yet limitations persist: some steps still require in-person appointment (especially for biometrics), original documents must still be presented, and payment of fees may still be on-site.

This case illustrates how a state is actively digitising consular/visa functions, offering insights into benefits and legal/operational constraints (language limitations, accessibility, need for in-person verification). For consular law, Germany's approach raises questions about how far digital consular services may go while complying with legal duties of verification, consular authentication and protection of host-state rights.

European Union visa digitalisation agenda. Within the European Union framework, the digitalisation of the Schengen visa procedure is underway. The new visa rules, adopted 1 December 2023, will allow visa applicants to apply online via a single platform, and introduce a "digital visa" with a cryptographically-signed barcode to replace the physical visa sticker. The digitalisation initiative is expected to streamline application processes, reduce costs, harmonise procedures and mitigate risks associated with physical visa stickers (fraud, theft). The EU platform is scheduled to start operating from 2028.

For consular services, this illustrates a supranational initiative to harmonise digital consular (visa) services across states and suggests that digital consular services are becoming a global trend. The legal standards for cross-border recognition, data sharing, electronic identity and e-signatures will be critical in this context.

While detailed data is less available for all states, the trend of digital consularisation is present globally. Many states are deploying mobile apps for nationals abroad, online portals for

Volume: 4 Issue: 10 | Oct–2025 ISSN: 2720-6882
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/jiphr

passports/visas, biometric enrolments, digital notification systems for consular registration and emergencies. The literature on digital diplomacy emphasises that digital tools allow states — especially smaller ones — to engage their diaspora, promote foreign policy and provide consular services more efficiently.

Emerging economies face additional challenges: legacy infrastructure, digital divide, capacity constraints and legal frameworks may be underdeveloped. However, they may also leapfrog into more advanced digital consular service models. For example, digital identity initiatives (such as national e-ID systems) may provide the basis for remote consular services. The international community should pay attention to inclusive access, interoperability and legal harmonisation across jurisdictions.

Risks, Barriers and Ethical Considerations. Digital consular services bring substantial data security and privacy risks. Consular services handle highly sensitive personal data (passport details, biometric data, travel history, location of nationals abroad, emergency contact information). Data breaches or cyber-attacks could compromise national security, personal safety of nationals abroad or diplomatic assets. The adoption of remote and digital platforms broadens the attack surface (cloud servers, mobile networks, third-party vendors). The literature on decentralised e-governance underscores this risk.

From a legal perspective, states must ensure compliance with data protection standards (e.g., lawful basis for processing, purpose limitation, data minimisation, cross-border transfer safeguards). Also, consular services must ensure confidentiality of communication, technical security measures, secure authentication and audit logs.

Digital divide, accessibility and inclusion. While digital services offer convenience, they may also exacerbate inequalities. Nationals abroad may vary in digital literacy, access to reliable internet or devices. Some vulnerable groups (elderly, refugees, persons with disabilities) may face barriers using digital consular services. States must design inclusive digital consular services and retain alternative pathways (in-person, telephone) to avoid exclusion.

As noted, existing consular law (e.g., VCCR) was drafted in an era of physical consulates and face-to-face interactions. Digital consular services raise legal uncertainties around immunities, jurisdiction, location of service provision, cross-border data flows and recognition of digital documents. Without clear legal standards, states risk conflicting obligations, liability gaps or rights-protection issues. The interoperability of digital identity, trust services and data exchange across states remains a barrier — especially where legal regimes differ.

The use of AI/automation in consular decision-making (e.g., visa denial, risk assessment, prioritisation) raises ethical issues: transparency, accountability, bias, fairness, human oversight and due process. If an automated system rejects a visa application without human review or explanation, applicants' rights may be compromised. Digital consular services must embed features of fairness, auditability and explainability. The literature on AI governance highlights these concerns. Moreover, digital tools may reduce human contact in consular work, which traditionally involves personal interaction and discretion. States must ensure digitalisation does not lead to de-humanisation of consular assistance, especially in serious cases involving nationals in distress.

Policy and Legal Recommendations. States should review and update their domestic laws governing consular services to explicitly accommodate digital service delivery. Key measures include:

- Defining the legal character of online consular services, digital portals, remote identity verification and remote issuance of documents.
- Clarifying the locus and legal status of digital consular posts (virtual consulates) and whether privileges/immunities extend to digital service environments.

Volume: 4 Issue: 10 | Oct–2025 ISSN: 2720-6882
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/jiphr

- Ensuring that digital identity, e-signatures, trust services and biometric systems used in consular services meet national and international legal standards.
- Incorporating data protection, cybersecurity, transparency and accountability provisions into consular legislation or administrative regulations.
- Retaining service-channels for the digitally disenfranchised or persons with limited access, thereby ensuring access and inclusion.

At the international level, states should engage in dialogue and possibly negotiate supplemental instruments to the VCCR (or new treaties) to address digital consular services explicitly. Steps include:

- Establishing guidelines or a multilateral instrument defining "digital consular services", "virtual consular post", location of service delivery, immunities in digital contexts.
- Promoting interoperability of digital identity and trust services across states for consular functions (drawing lessons from eIDAS).
- Encouraging mutual recognition of digital documents (e-passports, electronic visas, digital consular certificates) to facilitate cross-border mobility.
- Harmonising standards for data protection, privacy and cybersecurity in consular services; for example, through regional mechanisms or the platform of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) or the Council of Europe.
- Sharing best practices and capacity-building for states with limited digital infrastructure.

States and international organisations should develop standardised frameworks and guidelines covering:

- Minimum technical and organisational requirements for consular portals (security, user authentication, encryption, audit logs, availability).
- Digital identity standards: interoperability, cross-recognition, quality assurance of e-IDs used in consular processes.
- Data protection and privacy standards in consular services: lawful processing, user consent where appropriate, reasonableness, transparency to nationals and applicants.
- Auditability, transparency and fairness in automated decision-making (AI) systems used in consular services.
- Accessibility/inclusion standards: ensuring digital consular services are accessible to persons with disabilities, persons with limited digital skills, multilingual support.

Successful digital consular services require more than technology. States should:

- Train consular staff in digital tools, digital workflow management, cyber-hygiene and data protection.
- Engage with nationals abroad, diaspora organisations, and civil society to design user-friendly digital interfaces, gather feedback, ensure trust.
- Collaborate with private sector, IT vendors and cybersecurity experts to ensure robust digital infrastructure.
- Monitor and evaluate digital consular services: user satisfaction, accessibility, equity, security incidents, cost-effectiveness.
- Retain fallback mechanisms (in-person services, telephone lines) to ensure that digitalisation does not reduce service quality for those unable to access digital channels.

Volume: 4 Issue: 10 | Oct–2025 ISSN: 2720-6882
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/jiphr

Conclusion. The evolution of digital consular services marks a significant shift in how states deliver consular functions to their nationals abroad and process services for foreigners. Driven by global mobility, digital expectation, cost pressures and technological innovation, consular services are increasingly being delivered via online portals, biometrics, AI, mobile apps and digital identity systems. As illustrated by German and EU initiatives, the transformation is well under way.

However, innovation must be matched by legal and normative adaptation. The traditional consular law framework, based on physical posts, face-to-face services and bilateral conventions, does not fully address the digital era. Issues of location, jurisdiction, immunities, cross-border data flows, digital identity and automation demand new legal thinking. States must therefore review domestic frameworks, promote international cooperation and build interoperable standards for digital consular services.

Furthermore, digital consular services bring risks: data breaches, exclusion of digitally marginalised groups, algorithmic bias and loss of human engagement. Addressing these risks requires inclusive design, transparency, accountability and legally grounded safeguards. Ultimately, digital consularisation offers substantial benefits faster service, global access, cost-efficiency and better responsiveness but realising these benefits fully and lawfully requires alignment between innovation and international legal standard-setting.

In sum, the future of consular services is digital but it must be anchored in legal certainty, international cooperation and respect for rights and inclusion.

REFERENCES:

1. Burkadze, K. (2023). Legal aspects of digital diplomacy. *Law and World, 9*(25), 70-76. https://doi.org/10.36475/9.1.6 Lawandworld
2. Germany launches Consular Services Portal enabling online visa applications. (2025, January 29). *Immigration News – BAL.* Retrieved from https://www.bal.com/immigration-news/germany-consular-services-portal launched/ B A L | Berry Appleman & Leiden LLP
3. How Artificial Intelligence Can Modernize Consular Services. (2025, May 18). *Diplomatic Academy.* Retrieved from https://diplomaticacademy.us/2025/05/18/artificial-intelligence-consular-services/ diplomaticacademy.us
4. The Digitalisation of the national visa procedure from 1 January 2025. (2025, January 1). Federal Foreign Office of Germany. Retrieved from https://www.auswaertiges-amt.de/en/newsroom/news/2692292-2692292 Auswärtiges Amt
5. Everything you need to know on the Schengen visa digitalisation. (2023, December 1). European Commission. Retrieved from https://home-affairs.ec.europa.eu/news/everything-you-need-know-schengen-visa-digitalisation-2023-12-01_en Migration and Home Affairs
6. eIDAS Regulation. (n.d.). In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/EIDAS Vikipediya
7. Internet & Jurisdiction Policy Network. (n.d.). *Wikipedia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet_%26_Jurisdiction_Policy_Network Vikipediya
8. GLASS: Towards Secure and Decentralized eGovernance Services using IPFS. (2021). *arXiv*. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.08566 arXiv
9. Leslie, D., Burr, C., Aitken, M., Cowls, J., Katell, M., & Briggs, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence, human rights, democracy, and the rule of law: a primer. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.04147